

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CARLOS A. BURGOS-RAMOS,

Defendant.

CRIMINAL.:10-438 (DRD)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
RE: RULE 11 PROCEEDINGS (PLEA OF GUILTY)

**I. Procedural Background**

On November 17, 2010, a grand jury returned a single count indictment against Carlos A. Burgos-Ramos (hereinafter referred to as “defendant”), (Docket No. 1). The defendant has agreed to plead guilty to count one and agreed with the forfeiture allegation of the indictment. Count one charges that on or about April 23, 2009, District of Puerto Rico and elsewhere within the jurisdiction of this Court, Carlos A. Burgos Ramos, aiding and abetting others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, did knowingly conduct and attempt to conduct a financial transaction affecting interstate and foreign commerce, to wit, delivered eight hundred seventy two thousand five hundred and fifty six dollars in U.S. Currency (\$872,556.00), using the code “Para el Flaco de Miguel” to identify this transaction, which involved the proceeds of a specified unlawful activity, that is drug trafficking in violation of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act, Title 21, United States Code, Section 801, et. seq., knowing that the transaction was designed in whole and part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of such specified unlawful activity and that while conducting and attempting to conduct such financial transaction knew that the property involved in the financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, all in violation of Title 18, United States Code Section 1956 (a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.



## II. Consent to Proceed Before a Magistrate Judge

On June 16, 2011, while assisted by counsel, the defendant, by consent, appeared before the undersigned in order to change his previous not guilty plea to a plea of guilty as to count one of the indictment. In open court the defendant was questioned as to the purpose of the hearing being held and was advised of: (a) the nature and purpose of the hearing; (b) the fact that all inquiries were to be conducted under oath and that it was expected that his answers would be truthful; (c) the potential consequences of lying under oath (such as a perjury charge); and (d) his right to have the change of plea proceedings presided by a district judge instead of a magistrate judge. The defendant was also explained the differences between the appointment and functions of the two. The defendant consented to proceed before the undersigned magistrate judge.

## III. Proceedings Under Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure

### A. Rule 11(c)(1) Requirements

Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure governs the acceptance of guilty pleas to federal criminal violations. Pursuant to Rule 11, in order for a plea of guilty to constitute a valid waiver of the defendant's right to trial, guilty pleas must be knowing and voluntary: "Rule 11 was intended to ensure that a defendant who pleads guilty does so with an 'understanding of the nature of the charge and consequences of his plea.'" United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d 1, 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1995) (quoting McCarthy v. United States, 394 U.S. 459, 467 (1969)). [There are three core concerns in these proceedings]: 1) absence of coercion; 2) understanding of the charges; and 3) knowledge of the consequences of the guilty plea. United States v. Cotal-Crespo, 47 F.3d at 4 (citing United States v. Allard, 926 F.2d 1237, 1244-45 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1991)).

United States v. Hernández-Wilson, 186 F.3d 1, 5 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1999).

### B. Admonishment of Constitutional Rights

To assure defendant's understanding and awareness of his rights, defendant was advised of his right:

1. To remain silent at trial and be presumed innocent, since it is the government who has the burden of proving him guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
2. To testify or not to testify at trial, and that no adverse inference could be made in relation to his decision not to testify.



3. To a speedy trial before a district judge and a jury, at which he would be entitled to see and cross examine the government witnesses, present evidence on his behalf, and challenge the government's evidence.

4. To have a unanimous verdict rendered by a jury of twelve persons which would have to be convinced of defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt by means of admissible evidence.

5. To use the subpoena power of the court to compel the attendance of witnesses.

Upon listening to the defendant's responses, observing his demeanor and his speaking with his attorney, that to the best of counsel's belief defendant had fully understood his rights, it is determined that defendant is aware of his constitutional rights.

### **C. Consequences of Pleading Guilty**

Upon advising defendant of his constitutional rights, he was further advised of the consequences of pleading guilty. Specifically, defendant was advised that by pleading guilty and upon having his guilty plea accepted by the court, he will be giving up the above rights and will be convicted solely on his statement that he is guilty.

In response to further questioning, defendant was explained and he understood that if convicted on count one he will face the following maximum penalties: a term of imprisonment of not more than twenty (20) years, a fine of not more than five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) or twice the value of the property involved in the transaction, whichever is greater, and a term of supervised release of not more than five (5) years in addition to any term of incarceration.

The defendant was also explained what the supervised release term means and that if he violates the conditions of supervised release, that privilege could be revoked and he could be required to serve an additional term of imprisonment. He was also explained that parole has been abolished. In addition, defendant was made aware that the court must impose a mandatory penalty assessment of one hundred dollars (\$100) per offense pursuant Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013(a).



**D. “Type C” Plea Agreement<sup>1</sup>**

The parties have entered into a written plea agreement that was filed and made part of the record. Defendant was made aware that the court may accept or reject the plea agreement, or may defer its decision whether to accept or reject the plea agreement until it has considered the pre-sentence report. If the court rejects the plea agreement, the court will inform the parties of this fact and afford the defendant an opportunity to withdraw the guilty plea and advise the defendant that if he persists in a guilty plea, the disposition of the case may be less favorable to the defendant than the defendant contemplated in the plea agreement. Defendant was also explained that U.S. Sentencing Guidelines are advisory and not binding on the court. Defendant acknowledged having understood these explanations and all the terms and conditions of the plea agreement.

**E. Government's Evidence (Basis in Fact)**

The government presented a summary of its evidence consistent with the version of facts of the plea agreement with which the defendant concurred. Accordingly, it is determined that there is a basis in fact and evidence to establish all the elements of the offense charged.

**F. Voluntariness**

The defendant accepted that no threats had been made to induce him to plead guilty and that he did not feel pressured to plead guilty.

**G. Waiver of Appeal**

The defendant was explained, and he understood, that if the court accepts the plea agreement and sentences him according to its terms and conditions, he will be surrendering his right to appeal the sentence and judgment in this case.

**IV. Conclusion**

The defendant, by consent, has appeared before me pursuant to Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and has entered a plea of guilty as to count one and agreed with the forfeiture provisions of the indictment. After cautioning and examining the defendant under oath and in open

---

<sup>1</sup> “Plea agreement” refers to the agreement and its supplement.



1  
2 court, concerning each of the subject matters mentioned in Rule 11, as described in the preceding  
3 sections, I find that defendant is competent to enter this guilty plea, is aware of the nature of the offense  
4 charged and the maximum statutory penalties that the same carries, understands that the charge is  
5 supported by the government's evidence, has admitted to every element of the offense charged, and has  
6 done so in an intelligent and voluntary manner with full knowledge of the consequences of his guilty  
7 plea. Therefore, I recommend that the court accept the guilty plea of the defendant and that the  
8 defendant be adjudged guilty as to count one. I also recommend that the defendant's agreement with  
9 the forfeiture allegation contained in the indictment be accepted by the court.

10 This report and recommendation is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Any objections  
11 to the same must be specific and must be filed with the Clerk of Court within fourteen (14) days of its  
12 receipt. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Failure to timely file specific objections to the report and  
13 recommendation is a waiver of the right to review by the district court. United States v. Valencia-  
14 Copete, 792 F.2d 4 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir. 1986).

15 SO RECOMMENDED.

16 In San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2011.

17  
18 s/Marcos E. López  
19 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28